

OFFICE OF THE ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS AUSTIN

GERALD C. MANN

Honorable Homer L. Moss County Attorney Wheeler County Wheeler, Texas

Deer Sire

Opinion No. C.5527
Re: Whether the St. Mary's
Huspital, Inc., of Shamrock, Texas, is exempt
from taxes on its real
and personal property on
the grounds of being a
pharitable institution.

Your letter requesting the opinion of this department regarding the choice stated subject reads in part as fellows:

"The Tax Assessor Collector of Wheeler County, the Commissioners fourt and I, are confronted with with a problem concerning the liability or exemption for purposes of taxation of the buildings and equipment of one St. Mary's Hospital of Skamrock, Taxas.

The organization and operation of the hospital generally is such that it falls within the category of exempt public charities as discussed and lefined by the Commission of Appeals in the case of City of San Antonio vs. Santa Rosa Infirmary, cited in my brief which accompanies this letter, but we would appreciate your opinion in light of the fact that two slight distinctions exist as compaired with the general run of the decisions, all of which are more or less in harmony.

"These differences, if any, are the fact that the Institution belongs to an Illinois corporation and the additional fact that a small number of its patients to whom charity is applied are placed there, or at least a part of their fees paid for by the Commissioners Court.

"I have studied over the matter carefully and have come to the conclusion that the situations mentioned do not constitute an exception to the tax exemption generally afforded such an institution, if otherwise applicable. In such connection, I have prepaired a brief which I hope is of some small assistance."

We want to thank you for the excellent brief submitted with your inquiry. The brief has materially aided us in passing upon your question.

The questions presented in your brief are as follows:

"Would an hospital operated by Roman Catholic Sisters, members of an Illinois Corporation having such as one of its purposes, which hospital is situated in Wheeler County, Texas and whose operating personell donate their services free of charge where no one is denied admittance on account of property, and where all profits, if any, go to improve the facilities and train nurses be entitled to the statutory exemption from the payment of State and County Taxes?

Would this exemption, if otherwise applicable, be effected by the fact that the corporation has its domicile in the State of Illinois but profits, if any, are applied to local improvements and a part to the training of murses for the system of hospitals generally one of which is the institution in question?

"Is the charitable nature of the institution as entitling it to exemption altered by the fact

that during the time of its operation in the county the hospital has been reimbursed by the Commissioner's Court of the county for half of the cost of caring for certain indigent patients when the sums received from such county amount to not over 16% of the services denated to the care and treatment of charity cases generally ever the same period of time?"

Article 8, Section 2 of the State Constitution provides that:

"The Legislature may by general laws exempt from taxation . . . institutions of purely public charity; and all laws exempting property from taxation other than the above mentioned shall be mull and void."

Section 7 of Article 7150, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, was enacted in pursuance to the above constitutional provision, and reads as follows:

"7. Public charities .-- All buildings belonging to institutions of purely public charity, together with the lands belonging to and occupied by such institutions not leased or otherwise used with a view to profit, unless such rents and profits and all moneys and credits are appropriated by such institutions solely to sustain such institutions and for the benefit of the sick and disabled members and their families and the burial of the same, or for the maintenance of persons when unable to provide for theuselves, whether such persons are members of such institutions or not. An institution of purely public charity under this article is one which dispenses its aid to its members and others in sickness or distress, or at death, vithout regard to peverty or riches of the recipient, also when the funds, property and assets of such institutions are placed and bound by its laws to relieve, aid and administer in any way to the relief of its members when in want, sickness and distress, and provide homes for its helpless and

dependent members and to educate and maintain the orphans of its deceased members or other persons."

If the St. Mary's Hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of Article 8, Section 2 of the State Constitution, and subdivision 7 of Article 7051, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, the real estate belonging to said hospital would be tax exempt. (See the cases of Santa Rosa Infirmary vs. City of San Antonio, 259 S.W. 926; Fire Association of Philadelphia vs. Love, 108 S.W. 158, 810; Benevolent and P. O. E. Lodge vs. City of Houston, 44 S.W. (2d) 488; Masonic Temple Association vs. Amarillo Independent School District, 14 S.W. (2d) 128; City of Palestine vs. Missouri-Pacific Land Hospital Association, 99 S.W. (2d) 511; Scott vs. All Saints Hospital, 203 S.W. 146; State vs. Ceddegast, 227 S.W. 253).

Whether the St. Mary's Hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of Article 8. Section 2 of the State Constitution, and subdivision 7 of Article 7051, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, is a fact question which we cannot determine. If, as above stated, said hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of the Constitution and statute, then the real estate belonging to said hospital would be tax-exempt. However, on the other hand, if such hospital is not an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of Article 8, Section 2 of the State Constitution, and subdivision 7 of the Article 7051, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes, its real estate would not be tax-exempt. It is our opinion that the proper local authorities must determine from the actually existing facts whether the St. Mary's Hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of the above mentioned provisions of the Constitution and statutes.

With reference to the personal property belonging to the St. Mary's Hospital we direct your attention to our opinion No. 0-5114, a copy of which is enclosed for your convenience.

porated under the laws of Illinois would not, in our opinion, effect the liability or the exemption of the hospital relative to taxation. We have been unable to find any Texas case deciding the question. However, it will be noted that the statute (Article 7150, supra) exempts institutions of purely public charity without restricting the beneficiary of the privilege to a corporate status or corporate domicile in Texas or elsewhere. Stated another way the controlling factor would be whether the hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of Article 8, Section 2 of the State Constitution, and subdivision 7 of Article 7051, Vernon's Annotated Civil Statutes.

The fact that the county pays a portion of the hospitilization charges for indigent patients would not be a determining factor as to whether the hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of the provisions of the Constitution and statute mentioned above. The general rules announced by the above cited cases are to the effect that where a hospital admits all applicants regardless of means, charging those able to pay and places the income received therefrom back into the improvement and maintenance of the institutions or caring for the members of the staff or training nurses, the institutions would be entitled to the exemption except in cases where part of the facilities were occupied by another business or where some of the staff maintain their offices in the hospital in connection with the general practice of medicine. We quote from 144 A.L.R., page 1490 as follows:

sent to a sanitarium for care and treatment from the state or municipalities which were caring for them as public charges and which paid the sanitarium for this care at a rate less than the cost at which the state or municipalities or institutions that operate like hospitals operate, it was held in Order of Sisters of St. Joseph v. Plover (1941) 239 Wis. 278, 1 NW (2d) 173, that the sanitarium was none the less a benevolent institution within the provision of the tax exemption statute. Repeating the rule of St.

Joseph's Hospital Asso. v. Ashland County (1897) 96 Wis. 636, 72 NW 43, supra, the court said: 'These patients are subjects of charity. They are as much charity patients as if they applied personally for admission instead of first applying to the public authorities and being by those authorities sent to this hospital. That the public authorities pay for this care does not avoid the fact that the nationts are objects of charity, any more than the fact would be avoided if their care was paid for by the donations of private individuals as in the St. Joseph's Hospital Asso. Case. 1"

Whether the St. Mary's Hospital. as heretofore stated, is an institution of purely rublic charity within the meaning of the provision of the Constitution and statutes heretofore mentioned, is a fact question which we cannot determine. If, as above stated, said hospital is an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of the Constitution and statute, then the real estate belonging to the said hospital would be tax-exempt. However, on the other hand, if such hospital is not an institution of purely public charity within the meaning of Article 8, Section 2 of the State Constitution, the subdivision 7 of Article 7051, supra, its real estate would not be taxexempt. The proper local authorities must determine from the actually existing facts whether the St. Mary's Hospital is an institution of purely public charity, within the meaning of the above mentioned provisions of the Constitution and statutes.

Yours very truly

ATTORNEY GENERAL OF TEXAS

By Ardell Williams
Ardell W1111ams

Assistant

AW:ff Encl.